

~~SECRET~~

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

ORCON

INSCOM

GRILL FLAME

PROGRAM

SESSION REPORT

CLASSIFIED BY: MSG, DAMI-ISH
051630Z JUL 78

REVIEW ON: 01 June 2000

~~SECRET~~

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION CD26

1. (S) This report documents a remote viewing session conducted in compliance with a request for information on a target of interest.
2. (S) The remote viewer appeared to be having a very difficult time concentrating on the target. He stated that ambient room noise was bothering him considerably before the session. The viewer had very little confidence in his impressions.
3. (S) The protocol used for this session is detailed in the document, Grill Flame, Protocol, AMSAA Applied Remote Viewing Protocol (S), undated.
4. (S) Following is a transcript of the viewer's impressions during the remote viewing session. At TAB A are drawings made by the viewer reference his impressions of the target site. At TAB B is target cuing information provided the remote viewer at the time of this session.

DATE/TIME 1400 28 May 1980SESSION CD26 RVer 8.5 IVer 66ANALYST Joe TAPE 412 TARGET 8009

PSI CONDUSIVE STATES:

	YES	NO
1. Physical Relaxation:		
a. Was RVer able to physicall relax before and/or during "cool down" period?		
b. Did RVer appear physically relaxed before and/or during the session?		
c. When questioned, did RVer report a sense of physical relaxation in conjunction with the session?		
2. Degree of Arousal:		
a. When questioned after the session did the RVer report he was able to achieve a state of "passive concentration" prior to and/or during the session?		
b. Did RVer appear to be in a state of "passive concentration" prior to and/or during the session?		
3. Sensory Input:		
a. Was there ambient room "noise" (to include all senses) before and/or during the session?	X	
b. Was RVer disturbed by noise before and/or during the session?	X	
c. Was RVer able to disregard the "noise" and concentrate on the task at hand?	X	
4. Increased Awareness of Internal Processes:		
a. Did RVer report internally perceived sensory data?		
b. Did RVer express his confidence in the internal sensory data he perceived?		
c. Did RVer have REM during session?		
5. Hemispheric Specialization:		
a. Did RVer exhibit a voice quality change?		
b. Did RVer's verbage exhibit right hemispheric behavior? (Lack of sentence structure, gestalts, etc.)		
6. Altered View of World:		
a. Did RVer believe the task at hand was possible for him?	X	
b. Was RVer confident that he could do what was asked of him?	X	
7. Importance of Task:		
a. Was RVer briefed on importance of mission?	X	
b. Did RVer display positive motivation concerning the task at hand?	X	

TARGET:

TAPE:

INTERVIEWER:

DATE/TIME:

~~SECRET~~

PAGE

OF

TIME

1400

START SESSION -

North side of a steel bridge

People in olive-drab packs and
shirts sitting side by side

Gentleman with gray hair in late sixties

People - facing each other

Opportunity to add -

~~SECRET~~

WHEN FILLED IN


TAB

①





③



TAB

SECRET

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

TARGET CUING INFORMATION

SG1A (S) The Remote Viewer and Facilitator were provided with the Target Coordinates; [REDACTED] the Targeted date/time; 2400 hrs, 31 May 1980, and were requested to Quickly describe the target and the activity at the target. In addition, the Facilitator was request ed to pursue any information on activity pertinent to people. This advisory was not provided beforehand to the remote viewer.

SECRET